Exhibit 15

1	DONALD SPECTER – 083925 RITA K. LOMIO – 254501					
2	MARGOT MENDELSON – 268583 JACOB J. HUTT*					
3	PRISON LAW OFFICE 1917 Fifth Street					
4	Berkeley, California 94710-1916 Telephone: (510) 280-2621					
5	Facsimile: (510) 280-2704					
6	MICHAEL W. BIEN – 096891 GAY C. GRUNFELD – 121944					
7	THOMAS NOLAN – 169692 PENNY GODBOLD – 226925					
8	MICHAEL FREEDMAN – 262850 ROSEN BIEN					
9	GALVAN & GRUNFELD LLP 101 Mission Street, Sixth Floor					
10	San Francisco, California 94105-1738 Telephone: (415) 433-6830					
11	Facsimile: (415) 433-7104					
12	DISABILITY RIGHTS EDUCATION &					
13	DEFENSE FUND, INC. 3075 Adeline Street, Suite 201					
1415	Berkeley, California 94703 Telephone: (510) 644-2555 Facsimile: (510) 841-8645					
16	* Admitted pro hac vice					
17	Attorneys for Plaintiffs					
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
20						
21	JOHN ARMSTRONG, et al.,	Case No. C94 2307 CW				
22	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF				
23	V.	Judge: Hon. Claudia Wilken				
24	GAVIN NEWSOM, et al.,					
25	Defendants.					
26		•				
27		- A				
28						
	[4571437.1] DECLARATION O	Case No. C94 2307 CW				

DECLARATION OF

- 1. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein, and if called as a witness, I could and would competently so testify.
- 2. My California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) number is

 I am currently housed at the Richard J. Donovan Correctional Facility ("RJD"). I am 444 years old.
- 3. I am a member of the *Armstrong* class. I have a DPH code, meaning that I am deaf. My primary method of communication is A3L and my alternate method of communication is A3L and my alternate method
- 4. I have been incarcerated in CDCR since 2016. I have been housed at RJD since 2016. I am currently housed on 5040 YARD
- 5. I am housed PDF . My security level is . We are allowed to leave our cells and move freely around the prison between the hours of 6pm and 9pm.

(1) I'M DEAF AND I USE (VP) FOR COMMUNICATION (VP) MEANS JUDGED PHONE, THE (VP) IS LOCATED IN HOUSING ROUNTED AND IT IS LOCKED AND I do NOT have necess To UP. EXCEPT TAM TO YOM. I Should have access AT 5 pm To 8:45 pm.

7.) I should be Able to USE (VP) AT ANY TIME THE HEARDING INCOMPRES AND ALLER ALLESS AT ANY TIME THE HEARDING INCOMPRES AND ALLER ALLOWED TO USE THE Phone.

[4571437.1] 2 Case No. C94 2307 CW

8.) THE HEARING IMMATE HAS ACCESS To TELEPHONE from 6AM TO 8:45pm AND WE ARE PREVENTED FROM USING (MP) AT MOST OF PERMITTED TIME FER (UP) 7 9.) THE probein Fer not having Access TO (UP) IS BECAUSE THE STAFF MAY 10 HET BE AWAIE ABOUT OUR Phone 11 12 privileges OR bECAUSE THE YARD IS 13 DOWN OF bECHESE There is only one 14 STAFF PAT THE TIME, WE ARE MOT 15 16 Allowed to use THE (UP) IF THIS 17 HAPPACHS IT HAPPACHS 2-3 TIMES 18 19 A WEEK FOR MY DEMINE TO (Up) 20 ACCESS 21 10.) where The revisionaly is closed, 22 23 IT bethers me that I have to 24 GOT STAFF ATTOMATION IN CIOLOT 25 TO USE THE (Up) 27 28

[4571437.1] 3 Case No. C94 2307 CW

1	11.) sometimes IT is very difficult
2	TO GET THE STAFF'S ATTEMPNENT TO
3	
4	USE The (UP) depending on them
5	med sometimes they air Help,
6	
7	sometimes they wont and simetime
8	I JUST GIVE UP_
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
2728	
20	[4571437.1] 5 Case No. C94 2307 CW
	DECLARATION OF

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
.8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
15	foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, and that this declaration is
16	executed at RJD in San Diego, California this day of September, 2024.
17	
18	
19	
20	On September 2024, I read this entire document to Mr. through a
21	certified sign language interpreter. I used effective communication techniques to read this
22	document to Mr. in American Sign Language through an interpreter. I
23	recorded any corrections that he made. The substance of what I conveyed to Mr.
24	through the interpreter is identical to the substance of this document, including the
25	handwritten corrections.
26	
27	Penellope M. Godbold, Esq.
28	
	[4571437.1] A Case No. C94 2307 CW

1 2 3 4	DONALD SPECTER – 083925 RITA K. LOMIO – 254501 MARGOT MENDELSON – 268583 JACOB J. HUTT* PRISON LAW OFFICE 1917 Fifth Street Berkeley, California 94710-1916				
5	Telephone: (510) 280-2621 Facsimile: (510) 280-2704				
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MICHAEL W. BIEN – 096891 GAY C. GRUNFELD – 121944 THOMAS NOLAN – 169692 PENNY GODBOLD – 226925 MICHAEL FREEDMAN – 262850 ROSEN BIEN GALVAN & GRUNFELD LLP 101 Mission Street, Sixth Floor San Francisco, California 94105-1738 Telephone: (415) 433-6830 Facsimile: (415) 433-7104 LINDA D. KILB – 136101 DISABILITY RIGHTS EDUCATION & DEFENSE FUND, INC. 3075 Adeline Street, Suite 201 Berkeley, California 94703				
15	Telephone: (510) 644-2555 Facsimile: (510) 841-8645				
l6 l7	* Admitted pro hac vice				
18	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	S DISTRICT COURT			
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
19 20	NORTHERN DISTR	IICT OF CALIFORNIA			
21	JOHN ARMSTRONG, et al.,	Case No. C94 2307 CW			
22	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF JOANNE BURNS			
23	V.	Judge: Hon. Claudia Wilken			
24	GAVIN NEWSOM, et al.,	5			
25	Defendants.				
26					
27					
28					

Case No. C94 2307 CW

[4585969.1]

DECLARATION OF JOANNE BURNS

- I, Joanne Burns, do hereby declare:
- I am over 18 years of age and have personal knowledge of the matters set 2. forth herein, and if called witness, I could and would competently so testify.
- I am a certified sign language interpreter and fluent in American Sign Language.
- On September 18, 2024, I and another sign language interpreter provided who both and sign language interpretation services for communicate using American Sign Language, when they met with Penny Godbold, an attorney with Rosen Bien Galvan and Grunfeld LLP.
- On September 18, 2024, I and another sign language interpreter provided interpretation services as and completed signed declarations, including by translating the contents of each declaration from English into American Sign Language.
- 6. I affirm that I interpreted all communications related to the declarations accurately, completely and impartially, using my best skill and judgement in accordance with the standards prescribed by law and the Code of Professional Conduct for the Registry of Interpreters for the Deaf.

I declare under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed this 10 day of October, in San Diego, California.

21

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	DONALD SPECTER – 083925 RITA K. LOMIO – 254501					
2	MARGOT MENDELSON – 268583 JACOB J. HUTT*					
3	PRISON LAW OFFICE					
4	1917 Fifth Street Berkeley, California 94710-1916 Talanhana: (510) 280 2621					
5	Telephone: (510) 280-2621 Facsimile: (510) 280-2704					
6						
7	GAY C. GRUNFELD – 121944 THOMAS NOLAN – 169692					
8	PENNY GODBOLD – 226925 MICHAEL FREEDMAN – 262850					
9	ROSEN BIEN GALVAN & GRUNFELD LLP					
10	101 Mission Street, Sixth Floor San Francisco, California 94105-1738					
11	Telephone: (415) 433-6830 Facsimile: (415) 433-7104					
12						
13	3075 Adeline Street, Suite 201 Berkeley, California 94703 Telephone: (510) 644-2555					
14						
15						
16	* Admitted pro hac vice					
17	Attorneys for Plaintiffs					
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
20						
21	JOHN ARMSTRONG, et al.,	Case No. C94 2307 CW				
22	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF KETURAH HOLIDAY				
23	v.	Judge: Hon. Claudia Wilken				
24	GAVIN NEWSOM, et al.,	ruage. Tron. Claudia Wilken				
25	Defendants.					
26						
27						
28						

DECLARATION OF KETURAH HOLIDAY

Case No. C94 2307 CW

[4585969.1]

DECLARATION OF KETURAH HOLIDAY

- 1. I, Keturah Holiday, do hereby declare:
- 2. I am over 18 years of age and have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein, and if called witness, I could and would competently so testify.
- 3. I am a certified sign language interpreter and fluent in American Sign Language.
- 4. On September 18, 2024, I and another sign language interpreter provided sign language interpretation services for and who both communicate using American Sign Language, when they met with Penny Godbold, an attorney with Rosen Bien Galvan and Grunfeld LLP.
- 5. On September 18, 2024, I and another sign language interpreter provided interpretation services as and completed signed declarations, including by translating the contents of each declaration from English into American Sign Language.
- 6. I affirm that I interpreted all communications related to the declarations accurately, completely and impartially, using my best skill and judgement in accordance with the standards prescribed by law and the Code of Professional Conduct for the Registry of Interpreters for the Deaf.

I declare under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed this Oday of October, in 2024, California.

Keturah Holiday

Exhibit 16

DONALD SPECTER – 083925 RITA K. LOMIO – 254501										
MARGOT MENDELSON – 268583										
JACOB J. HUTT* PRISON LAW OFFICE 1917 Fifth Street Berkeley, California 94710-1916 Telembergy (510) 280 2621										
						Telephone: (510) 280-2621 Facsimile: (510) 280-2704				
MICHAEL W. BIEN – 096891										
GAY C. GRUNFELD – 121944 THOMAS NOLAN – 169692										
PENNY GODBOLD – 226925 MICHAEL FREEDMAN – 262850										
ROSEN BIEN GALVAN & GRUNFELD LLP										
101 Mission Street, Sixth Floor San Francisco, California 94105-1738 Telephone: (415) 433-6830 Facsimile: (415) 433-7104										
						LINDA D. KILB – 136101 DISABILITY RIGHTS EDUCATION & DEFENSE FUND, INC. 3075 Adeline Street, Suite 201 Berkeley, California 94703				
Telephone: (510) 644-2555 Facsimile: (510) 841-8645										
* Admitted pro hac vice										
Attorneys for Plaintiffs										
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT										
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA										
	JOHN ARMSTRONG, et al.,	Case No. C94 2307 CW								
	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF								
	V	Indees Hen Claudia Wilker								
	GAVIN NEWSOM, et al.,	Judge: Hon. Claudia Wilker								
ı	Defendants.									

DECLARATION OF

[4552855.3]

Case No. C94 2307 CW

dase 4:94-cv-02307-CW Document 3630-5 Filed 10/16/24 Page 12 of 50

DECLARATION OF

- I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein, and if called as a witness, I could and would competently so testify.
- 3. I am a member of the *Armstrong* class. I have a DPH code, meaning that I am deaf. My primary method of communication is sign language and my alternate method of communication is written notes.
- I am also a member of the Coleman class. I have been diagnosed with depression and I receive mental health services at the CCCMS level of care.
- 5. I have been incarcerated in CDCR since December 10, 2013. From October 2016 until April 2022, I was housed at the Substance Abuse Treatment Facility and State Prison (SATF). I have been housed at San Quentin since approximately April 6, 2022. I am currently housed on South Block, in what is considered an "earned living unit" or "honor building." To be housed in this unit, I had to demonstrate active engagement in programming and remain free from any disciplinary write-ups for two years.
- 6. Although I am housed in a cell, my housing unit is a Level 2, minimum security unit. We are allowed to leave our cells and move freely around permitted areas of the prison beginning after breakfast, around 6:30 or 7:00am, until count occurs at 3:30. Free movement resumes around 5:30 or 6:00p.m. and ends at approximately 8:30 pm. The other housing units at San Quentin have more movement restrictions and you cannot enter and exit your cell freely throughout the day.

Telephone Access at San Quentin

- 7. CDCR has issued tablet computers free of charge to all incarcerated people, including me. The tablet computers allow incarcerated people to participate in a number of prison programs, services and activities, while inside their cells.
 - 8. I am aware and have observed that incarcerated persons can use the tablets to

[4552865.3] Case No. C94 2307 CW

6

15

21

22

24

28

26

are still able to place calls from their tablets inside of their cells until problems outside of

the cells are resolved. This is also true during "modified programming," such as when

place telephone calls from inside their cells. Until September 17, 2024, the times of day that incarcerated people could use the tablets to place telephone calls from inside their cells were from 6:00 a.m. until 3:30 p.m. and from 5:00 p.m. until 8:30 p.m.

- On September 17, 2024, I received a written notification on my tablet stating that telephone access would be extended until 11:00 p.m. each evening. I understand that this happened because several hundred people housed at San Quentin submitted grievances requesting the extended access.
- Prison officials have told me that, once the extended access begins, deaf individuals will have different rules than non-deaf people. Non-deaf people will be able to place calls continuously from 5:00 p.m. until 11:00 p.m. Deaf signers will be required to be in our cells for institutional count from 9:00-9:30 p.m. and will not be able to place calls during this time. If we want to use the videophone after that time, we will have to inform officers in advance—prior to 9:00 p.m.—so that they can leave our cell doors unlocked. If we do not plan in advance, we will not be able to place calls after 9:30 p.m.
- Unlike in the past, when everyone had to sign up to use the limited number of phones available in the housing unit, because everyone is personally issued a tablet computer and can use that tablet at their own convenience inside of their cell, there are no restrictions on the number of calls nor the number of people that can be placing a call, at any given time.
- 12. From what I have observed, incarcerated people can place calls from anywhere in the prison that has wireless internet. At San Quentin, this includes the library, the chapel, the yard, the education areas, the medical areas, and the vocational areas.

13. I am aware and have observed that, by using the tablet computers to place

disturbance occurring outside of people's cells in the dayroom, I have observed that people

there is a staffing shortage, a staff meeting, or some other need to modify the normal, allowed, out-of-cell time that is permitted in a housing unit. During "modified programing" incarcerated people are not let out of their cells as much as normal programming but incarcerated people are still able to place phone calls on their tablets from inside their cells when programming is modified. The same is true during periods of "lockdown," where the prison staff cancel dayroom, yard time, or rehabilitative programming. I have observed that even during lockdown incarcerated persons can still place calls from their tablets inside their cells. Indeed, from what I have observed, periods of time when people are locked in their cells more are the times that people use their tablets more, including to place phone calls, because there is very little to do otherwise.

14. I would very much like to be able to place calls from inside my cell, with the same unrestricted access to the phone, same privacy, without having to share a device and wait for others, in the same manner that the people who are not deaf or hard of hearing can. But I cannot, solely because I am deaf and CDCR requires deaf people to place calls from stationary videophones located outside of our cells.

Videophone Access at San Quentin

- 15. Because I am deaf, and I use sign language to communicate, I use a "videophone" which has video-conferencing technology, similar to FaceTime or Zoom, to allow me to communicate with others on the phone. Currently, the only way I can access the videophone is when I have permission to be outside of my cell either for general purposes (where we are allowed to choose where we go and what we do, including placing calls), or specifically for the purpose of placing a call.
- 16. The prisons that house people who use sign language to communicate have installed videophones for us to use to place phone calls. The videophone that I use is located in the dayroom of my housing unit, on the first floor. I am housed on the second tier, along with all the other deaf signers on South Block. The videophone is attached to the wall and cannot be moved. I can use the videophone to call anyone, including people who do not know sign language or who use a standard telephone. When I use the

- 17. I cannot place telephone calls from inside of my cell using the tablet computer because the tablet does not have software that allows incarcerated persons to place videophone calls. When I place a call using a videophone the only way for me to do so is to have permission to be outside of my cell and placing a call.
- 18. As a result, my access to calls is not equal to the access that non-deaf people have because out of cell time, when I must place my calls, is more limited than the times when others can place calls. This is especially true when program is modified or we are on lockdown, which happens regularly. Also, I have to share the videophone with others and sometimes must wait to place a call. Also, because I am not allowed to place calls in my cell, I do not have the same privacy for my calls as others do. All of this makes me feel frustrated because it seems unfair and like I am a lesser because of my disability.

Daily Limitations on Videophone Access

- 19. Because I need to be allowed outside of my cell to place calls, there are times every day that others can place calls to their loved ones, because they can do so from inside of their cells on their tablet, but I cannot.
- 20. In my housing unit, we are allowed to move freely most of the day, but we are required to be inside our cells for count and for evening recall.
- 21. Phone access is cut off for everybody from about 3:30 p.m. until 5:00 p.m. to allow for institutional count of every incarcerated person. However, my access to calls is cut off for longer because we are required to return to our cells by 3:30 p.m. and cannot leave until count has "cleared," which often does not occur until 5:30 or 6:00p.m.
- 22. I typically do not have access to phone calls daily for about 30-60 minutes while others are still permitted to place calls inside their cells. During these daily times, I have tried but I cannot get permission to be outside of my cell and on the videophone.

[4552855.3]

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Once hours are extended until 11:00pm, there will be an additional 30 minutes per day when others can place calls, but I cannot.

Periodic Limitations on Videophone Access

- 23. Beyond the 60 minutes daily when I do not have permission to be outside my cell and placing a videophone call, there are other events that happen periodically where I am required to be inside my cell for a much longer period of time. I will explain these circumstances more below. During these occasions, I have to get express permission to exit my cell for the purposes of placing a call.
- 24. My videophone access is limited for a full day each month referred to as "training day." During this day, the officers who regularly work in my housing unit participate in training and a different correctional officer takes over their shift. On training day, the institution is placed on "modified programming," meaning that we have to spend most of this day inside our cells and we need permission from the officers to leave.

 Usually, we are let out only for showers and maybe meals.
- 25. It is difficult for me to get permission to leave my cell on training days for several reasons, including because I am deaf. Because we are locked in our cells, I have to rely on a porter to communicate. Usually, there is a porter (another incarcerated person who is allowed to be in the dayroom to clean it and to run errands for the custody officer) in the dayroom. I have to attempt to communicate my request to be let out of the cell to use the phone through the porter. Sometimes the porter will know sign language, and I can communicate freely. But most of the porters do not know sign language, and I have to attempt to convey my request to be let out through gestures or written notes, which can be difficult. It makes me uncomfortable and nervous to communicate this way, because I am not confident that I have been understood and that I am understanding what is being said. When it comes to communicating with custody staff through another incarcerated person, it is scary to not know exactly what is being said.
- 26. These substitute officers, who do not know me and do not know our housing unit well, do not usually let me go place a videophone call. If I am already out of my cell,

[4552855:3]

- 27. I find it deeply frustrating and demeaning to have to negotiate for phone time when I know that people who are not deaf do not have to jump through these hoops. Every time I have to reach out to an officer to ask permission for something, I worry that I will upset the officer and they will do something to retaliate against me. This is especially true for unfamiliar officers, because I do not know how they will react.
- 28. Even something as minor as a disciplinary write-up called a "counseling chrono" or "128B chrono" can have huge consequences for me: First, it could mean I get transferred to more restrictive housing. I have seen a number of people be transferred out of our earned living unit for counseling chronos they have received for things like stepping out of bounds, collecting too much toilet paper, or taking a quick shower without permission. Second, it could extend my time in prison. I am serving a life sentence in prison and I have a parole suitability hearing in December of this year. If I get a counseling chrono this close to my parole date, it could easily cause the Board to deny me parole. Because of all this, I walk on eggshells trying to stay out of trouble.
- 29. I have tried everything to make sure the officers understand that I am not asking for a special favor, I am only asking them to follow the rules. I have a copy of a memo that was published on October 6, 2023, that says that deaf signers are supposed to be let out of their cells during modified programming to use the videophone. I have shown this to the officers to request permission to place a call during modified programming, but officers still refuse and tell me to wait until regular programming. When I show them the memo and they still say no, I typically do not press the matter any further, because I am worried that I will upset the officer.
- 30. On non-training days, the officers who regularly work in my housing unit usually let me out of my cell to use the phone during modified programing, but I have to go through the frustrating and demeaning process of asking permission, and hoping they understand me and follow the policy. Officers who are new to the unit or assigned only

- 31. Even regular officers will not let me out of my cell during lockdowns. Most recently, in July or August 2024, my housing unit was placed on lockdown for about 10 days due to an outbreak of a virus, which I believe is called norovirus. For this entire 10-day period, I was not able to place any calls because officers would not let me out of my cell to use the videophone. During this time I saw non-deaf individuals placing phone calls on tablets from their cells without any restrictions.
- 32. My housing unit is placed on lockdown approximately once per month for different types of disturbances. The most common disturbance is when an incarcerated person does not show up to a class or appointment, usually because they lost track of time. When the happens, the prison announces "unit recall" or "institution recall," which means that everyone in that housing unit or in the entire prison has to go back to their cells immediately and stay there until everyone is accounted for. The prison also has institution recall every time an officer sees someone outside the perimeter of the prison who could be an escaped incarcerated person. This happens fairly frequently at San Quentin, because there is often heavy fog that can make it appear as though someone is wearing our blue prison uniform when they are wearing street clothes.
- 33. I was previously housed at SATF. At SATF, we did not have unit recall or institution recall every time a person failed to show up for an appointment.
- 34. Finally, there is occasionally something called a "program activity report" that says that incarcerated people cannot make phone calls on the telephones in the dayroom. During these times, I have observed that incarcerated people can still place calls on their tablets from their cells. Some officers interpret this rule to mean that deaf signers cannot leave their cells to place videophone calls. This is another occasion when non-deaf people can place calls but I cannot.
- 35. In addition to the restrictions above, there are times when I cannot use the videophone because the one videophone I have access to is broken. When the videophone

[4552855.3]

Case No. C94 2307 CW

breaks late in the day on Friday or on the weekend, we have to wait until at least Monday before a technician can fix the videophone. This means that I may have to wait several days to place a videophone call, because I do not have the option to use another videophone.

Sharing the Videophone with Six Other People

- 36. Even when I do have access to the videophone, I cannot always use it. There are a total of seven people on my yard who have been approved to use the videophone, meaning that all seven of us share a single device.
- 37. I am a very social person and my call list includes over 300 people that I count on being able to call on to help my mental health. I call my father daily. I have two children, whom I try to call every chance I can. There are other people that I call on a weekly basis, ranging from my sister, to clergy members, to deaf advocacy organizations.
- 38. It is common that, when I go to use the videophone, someone else is using it already and I have to wait my turn. It is also common that, while I am placing a videophone call, someone else will be waiting to use the phone right after me. As a courtesy to others that I know are waiting to use the phone, I usually cut my own calls short, or I do not place as many calls as I would like, because I do not want to cause any problems with other people who are also waiting to use the videophone. There are also times when I have to end my phone time early because one of the other deaf people has an appointment with a lawyer or a member of the clergy that takes priority over my call.
- 39. When I place calls, the person I am calling is not always able to answer. Because of my limited access to the videophone, I cannot simply call back in a few minutes by that time, I may have to get back in line to use the phone because there is another deaf signer using it, or I may have been required to go back to my cell for count or recall.
- 40. These limitations on calling take a big toll. I want so much to be part of my children's lives, but they seem increasingly disconnect and quiet when I call. I think it is because I am not able to call them often, and I have no other way to reach out to them, so

[4552855.3]

they think I am forgetting about them. It is also stressful for my family when I do not call — when we finally connect, they tend to be worried because they thought I got in trouble or that something bad happened to me.

41. Sometimes the difficulty getting access to videophone has devastating consequences. On one occasion, I kept missing the opportunity to reach my sister on the videophone, and I went without seeing or conversing with her for almost two months. One day, I received an electronic message from my sister saying that she was free in case I was able to call right then. I went to the videophone right away to call her, but someone else was using the phone and I had to wait. By the time the phone was available, my sister was no longer available and I had lost my chance to see her. I was seriously distraught after that incident.

Lack of Privacy

- 42. Privacy is another significant reason why the phone access that I have, where I have to exit my cell and go use a phone in a public location each time I place a call, is not equal to the access that all the non-deaf people in my prison have.
- 43. The videophone is located in the dayroom. While I have a three-sided privacy screen that I can put up around me during calls, it is not enough to keep out prying eyes. The screen is only 5½ feet high, making it easy for other incarcerated people to look over the top of it. People on the second and third tiers of my housing unit can also easily see the videophone screen from the walkway of their tier.
- A4. I have attached as **Exhibit A** some photographs that show the videophone in North Block, which has a layout that is very similar to South Block, and which depict the exact same privacy screen that we now use in South Block. The first picture shows the view of the videophone from the second tier, and you can see that the screen is clearly visible. You can also see that the person standing next to the privacy screen can see over it. The second picture shows that a person standing next to the privacy screen can see over it.
 - 45. During my calls, it is common for other incarcerated people watch my call. I

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 46. The videophone location is also in a high-traffic part of the dayroom, right by the entrance. Because of the location, the privacy screen constantly gets jostled during
- building, porters pushing large carts will bump the screen with their carts. Even the breeze

the call. People will bump the screen as they walk past on their way in and out of the

- 11 that comes in when someone opens the door will jostle the screen. The jostling is so bad—
- 12 and so distracting, that I have to wrap my feet around the bottom of the privacy screen to
- hold it in place during my calls. I have complained about this, and I know that attorneys
- 14 from the Prison Law Officer have taken pictures so they can advocate to move the
- 15 videophone to a better location, but nothing has changed.
 - 47. If I had videophone software on my tablet, I would be able to place calls from the privacy of my own cell, instead of doing so in a high-traffic area where everyone can see.
 - 48. Staff do not closely watch me when I place video calls. Staff cannot monitor my videophone activity from where they are typically stationed in the housing unit because the privacy screen blocks the direct line of sight from staff to the videophone. In order to see what I am doing, they have to come over and look behind the privacy screen. Staff rarely ever do this. However, I have seen that officers in various locations, including officers in my housing unit, have computer screens that allow them to monitor our videophone calls in real time and to watch recorded calls at a later time.

Lack of Convenience

49. The fact that I can only place calls from a fixed location in the dayroom also makes it less convenient for me to place calls. I often place calls while I am working

[4552855.3] Case No. C94 2307 CW

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

50. Having videophone software on the tablets would be very helpful, because it means that I could sit at a table with my homework when I place a call. On a nice day, I could even sit outside.

Emotional Impact

- 51. I find it frustrating and unfair not to be able to place calls as easily or as privately as other incarcerated persons, simply because I am deaf. I have filed CDCR 1824 Forms requesting access to videophone calls on the tablet computers, and I have seen 1824 requests filled out by other deaf signers, but all of our requests for equal access to in cell tablet calls have been denied.
- 52. Being able to stay in regular and unrestricted contact through videophone access with people who are outside of the prison is extremely important for me and my mental health. Having that connection to the outside world keeps me focused on positive things. When I have trouble placing videophone calls, either because I have trouble getting out of my cell, because my time gets restricted due to a lockdown, or because someone else is using the shared phone, I get anxious and my thoughts turn negative.

In-Cell Videophone Access in Tulare County Jail

- 53. I was recently housed in the Tulare County Jail in Visalia, California from approximately November 29 to December 13, 2023, for the purposes of re-sentencing. During this time, the Tulare County Jail gave me both a tablet computer and a laptop computer that both allowed me to place video calls from inside of my cell.
- 54. The tablet computer was the very same type of tablet computer that we use in CDCR. I believe it is made by ViaPath. I was given the impression that the tablet computer they gave me was specifically for deaf incarcerated people and that non-deaf people received a different tablet. The tablet I was given did not contain software for

[4552855.3] Case No. C94 2307 CW

- 55. I was also given a laptop computer that had only videophone software on it. There was nothing else that I could use the device for. Like the tablet computer, the videophone software on the tablet let me place a call on a line that was monitored and recorded, without blurring my hands as I tried to communicate through sign language.
- 56. With these two different options, I was able to place videophone calls from inside my cell if I needed to. I saw that the non-deaf people at the Tulare County Jail each had a tablet computer that looked the same as mine and they were able to use it to place telephone calls from inside their cells.

			A 15 A	13.11	40.00		2012	250	
			1-01-	75,3,773.4					
	4-7						11. 1 mm		
		A116	D 200	WINE.	District Co.	V 181	- 0.30	Albania Car	
	2.00	- III 17.7		10000	And the	Laboration of the	2.00	13.005.1	11.0
		14-0-3							
		77/17/	WY Y	V. Tring	4000	1.10	W	30.00	
- 1-1	100	5.0.00			77.	-7/-3	St. Yest.	1.21.43	
						- P. W. S.			

58. I have also learned that the prison might try to increase videophone access by increasing access to video visitation. Video visitation is not equal to a videophone call. First, I still would have to be able to exit my cell for the purposes of video visitation, so I

[4552855.3]

would still have limited access and no privacy. Second, video visitation is a paid service, while videophone calls are free. Third, it is difficult for me to communicate using video visitation: the video visitation software often blurs my hands and arms when I am signing, making communication unclear, and the transmission of the video signal is sometimes choppy. Fourth, because it is not a true videophone call, it is not possible to connect to a sign language interpreter through "video visitation" so it is not possible to communicate with people who do not know sign language. Finally, video visitation requires the other person to be an approved visitor, to download special software, and to make an appointment. None of those steps are necessary for me to place a videophone call. Essentially, even if everyone in prison had their own personal video visitation kiosk in the dayroom, it still would not be equal to having in-cell access to a videophone.

Tablet Size

59. Even though I am still fairly young, I still find the tablets difficult to use for entertainment because they are too small. In the evenings, I sometimes like to watch educational videos in sign language. The small size of the tablets makes my eyes get tired quickly from looking at such a small image. When trying to watch videos with closed captions, I also sometimes have problems where the captions are either too small to read or they block the images in the movie. Understand that my deaf peers who are older have more problems with their vision and more difficulty understanding sign language and watching captioned videos on the small tablet screens.

Sign Language Interpreters in Groups

- 60. I use sign language interpreters to access rehabilitative programming and other programs, services and activities in prison. Usually, the interpreters sometimes appear in person and sometimes appear on a computer. I have never had anyone else in my rehabilitative groups say or do anything that makes me think they are not comfortable participating because there is a sign language interpreter present.
- 61. In the groups, I know the interpreters are doing their best, but sometimes they make mistakes or are not completely clear, and it can cause problems. The

[4552855.3]

conversations in these groups can be very sensitive. When I do not understand the interpreter, I do not want to interrupt and ask for clarification, because it would be too disruptive in such a sensitive conversation. But when I do not understand the interpreter, I might not react appropriately — I might think someone is being funny when they are being serious, or vice versa. The other people in my groups can get offended when I do not react in an appropriate way, which can cause conflicts between us. It is also embarrassing for me to realize that I have laughed when I shouldn't, or to see that everyone else is laughing and not understand why. And, of course, when the interpreter does not interpret clearly, I do not get as much benefit from attending the group.

62. Having an interpreter on site is much more effective than having a remote interpreter. The equipment that CDCR uses to provide remote interpreting does not work well. The remote interpreter constantly will say they cannot hear what other people are saying. When the interpreter cannot hear someone, I often have to be the person who interrupts and asks them to repeat or to slow down. It is very awkward for me. At least when the interpreter is present in person, the interpreter can be the one to ask someone to repeat what they did not hear or to ask people to slow down when they are talking too fast. That lets me focus on my own experience of taking the class. I can choose to advocate for

111

19 //

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 //

DECLARATION OF

Document 3630-5

Filed 10/16/24

Page 27 of 50

Case 4:94-cv-02307-CW

Armstrong et al., Monitoring Tour San Quentin State Prison May 22, 2023



SQSP North Block-IMG_3179 VRS Area View From 2nd Tier.JPG

Armstrong et al., Monitoring Tour San Quentin State Prison May 22, 2023



SQSP North Block-IMG_3175 VRS Privacy Screens.JPG

]

Exhibit 17

2

3

4

5 6

7 8

9 10

1	_
I	2

13

11

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

DECLARATION OF

- , declare:
- I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein, and if called as a 1. witness, I could and would competently so testify.
- 2. My California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) number is I. I have been in CDCR custody since January 2009. I am 40 years old.
- 3. I am currently housed at Mule Creek State Prison (MCSP). Before I was at MCSP, I lived for over four years at the California Substance Abuse Treatment Facility and State Prison, Corcoran (SATF). I was housed at SATF from March 2018 until March 2019 and again from February 2020 until I transferred to MCSP around July 3, 2024.
- 4. When I was housed at SATF, I primarily lived on Facility D, which is a Level IV yard with celled housing. I now live on Facility B at MCSP, which is a Level III yard with celled housing.
- 5. I am mostly deaf in my right ear and partially deaf in my left ear. CDCR has assigned me a DNH code. I am prescribed hearing aids, but hearing aids alone don't always allow me to understand speech, especially in loud environments where there is background noise. For example, when I try to speak with loved ones on the phone in the dayroom, I often can't hear them at all when the dayroom is busy and loud. Even when I do hear them, I have trouble distinguishing between their voices and the voices of people speaking in the background. In that kind of environment, interruptions, like the automated message saying that the phone call is being monitored or announcements over the public address system from the tower officer, can be confusing and make it even more difficult for me to understand my family.

Tablet-Based Phone Calls

- 6. I had a ViaPath tablet when I was housed at SATF. I used the phone application on the tablet to call my family from inside my cell, though with complications.
- 7. A representative from the Prison Law Office told me that CDCR said that 96% of DNH class members at SATF used their tablets to make phone calls from January

6

9

13

10

17

16

18 19

20 21

22

23

24 25

> 26 27

- 1, 2024, through September 3, 2024. That number doesn't surprise me. Phone calls are really important to incarcerated people. Even if you can't hear everything, some communication is better than nothing.
- 8. I made calls on the tablet at SATF even though I could not always hear what the other person was saying clearly. When I was at SATF, I would turn the volume on the tablet all the way up because I can't hear otherwise. I didn't find the over-ear headphones provided by CDCR to be very helpful, so instead I would use the earbuds provided with the tablets, without my hearing aids (because I could only put either earbuds or hearing aids in my ears). Sometimes, though, I periodically would get a written message when I was starting the phone application that said something to the effect of, "loud sounds may damage your ears." The volume then automatically turned down to a point that I could no longer hear, so that I had to turn it all the way back up again. I have a tablet now at MCSP and have the same problem. I've put in multiple tablet trouble tickets to have that feature removed, since it prolongs the process of making a phone call.
- 9. Despite the problems I have with making phone calls on the tablets, using the tablet to make phone calls at SATF was the obvious choice because we were locked down in our cells so often. It took me a long time to get access to the Telecommunications Device for the Deaf (TDD) when I was at SATF because staff wouldn't provide it to me – I filed a grievance and the Prison Law Office sent an advocacy letter. But even once I did have access to it, I don't think I could've used it during lockdowns or modified programming when I was on D yard because I wasn't allowed out of my cell. In my experience, lockdowns and modified programming are similar because they involve many of the same limitations on out-of-cell time, including sometimes access to the phones, which disproportionately affects people who don't have tablets or who can't use the tablets to make a call.
- 10. When I was on D yard at SATF, the program was modified very often. The program would be modified for many reasons – because metal was missing; because there had been a written note, or "kite," with a threat on staff; or because of a fight that staff

perceived to be related to a group. The program often was shut down because of overdoses, especially in the last few years. The program also would be modified when D yard was short-staffed, including when staff were diverted from D yard to assist with searches on other yards. Sometimes the program would be modified for days because of those kinds of events.

- 11. To get out of the cell during lockdowns and modified programming at SATF was very difficult. During a full lockdown, I would need to get the attention of officers directly because there is no one else in the dayroom to ask to relay a message. During modified programming, I might be able to ask a porter to relay a message for me. To get the attention of either the porter or staff, I would yell out of my door or use a piece of paper to try to flag someone down. Porters sometimes would ignore me and officers didn't always respond, like when they were in their office.
- 12. There were times when I was locked down on D yard at SATF and we were getting meals in our cells when I couldn't even turn in a medical care request because the building officer wouldn't let me out of my cell to give it to the nurse, even though the nurse was in the building giving out medication (at that time, there was no box for 7362s in the building). That happened even when I tried to get an officer's attention by waving at the door or yelling or when I told them the day before that I would need to be let out to turn in a medical slip. If staff wouldn't let me out to ask for medical care, I don't think they would let me out just to use the phone.
- 13. To me, it would give a fuller picture of what it's like to use the tablet to make calls as a partially deaf person to say that while 96% of people designated DNH may make calls on the tablets, not all of us necessarily have the accommodations we need to make phone calls effectively, but place calls on the tablet regardless of complications because there are no other options or services provided to accommodate them.
- 14. When I transferred out of SATF, I was asked to give up my tablet when I left from R&R (Receiving and Release).

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

23

24

25

- I was not given a tablet when I got to MCSP on July 3, 2024. When I still did 15. not have a tablet on July 22, 2024, I filed an 1824 asking for one. I now have a tablet. On B vard at MCSP, I can make phone calls from 6 am until 11 pm. That access feels like so much more than we had at SATF, when I could only make calls on the tablets from 9 am until before 9 pm, not including losses in connectivity and other scheduled outages.
- Having as much phone time as possible, particularly late in the evenings 16. after 9 pm, is meaningful to me. I think it's meaningful to many people. For me, I work at PIA Sewing from 7 am until 2 pm or 3 pm. I also am taking a college class and sometimes don't get out of class until 7:20 pm and then I need to study. My daughter is sick and my wife is very worried about her, so I want to be able to talk to them to build a stronger relationship with them and show my feelings and care for them for as long as I can in the evening after work, to try to put their minds at ease. My wife works until around 3 pm and takes care of our three children after work, so she isn't available usually until after 7 pm. Without being able to speak with them at night, it would be harder for me to be a father. My family is mixed – my children are not my biological children, and two of my children were adopted by my wife. It's important to me to be able to build a bond with them in case I do get out of prison, so that I can care for them. I was a foster child and I know that it's more complicated to grow up as a child being raised by someone who isn't your natural parent because some don't show the same love and affection that a natural parent would show to their child. I need to build my children's trust and try to guide them as much as I can and show them my love like a father. I know the time later in the evening after the children go to bed is meaningful to me and my wife too - that's when we're really able to talk.
- As I said above, I have been incarcerated in CDCR since 2009. Since phone 17. calls became available on the tablets, the yards I've been on have become less violent. I'm not sure someone in society could understand how meaningful phone calls are to people in prison.

<u>Previous Declaration</u>

18. On August 14, 2020, I signed a declaration in *Armstrong v. Newsom* about being denied access to the Telecommunications Device for the Deaf (TDD) at SATF. In my declaration, I explained that I filed a 602 requesting access to the TDD or to phone calls in the evening to accommodate my hearing disability. I described how other incarcerated people then approached me because building officers had told them that people would be losing their privileges because "the guy with the hearing aids," meaning me, had filed an appeal complaining that others were getting extra privileges, including phone calls. I explained how people insinuated that I would be beaten up because of what the officers had said. I believe the officers' comments put my life in danger from other incarcerated people by singling me out. After that, and after other experiences trying to get access to basic things that I needed, I stopped asking because of hostility from officers and comments the officers would make towards me.

- 19. I don't think a person who has never been to prison can understand the danger that I was in because of what people told me that the officers had said. I didn't feel safe anywhere. I didn't know how people would react to what officers had said or if someone would act violently without warning, but my assumption was that someone would act violently. What people told me officers had said wasn't true, but it was their word against mine, and because the information came from a few custody officers, I didn't feel that I could go to custody officers for help because of their conduct and attitude towards me. I had to try to diffuse the situation on my own. I don't know what else officers might have told people about me that could put me at risk.
- 20. Officers reacting that way to my request made me think twice before asking for things that I needed I didn't want to be retaliated against anymore for making any request, including related to accommodations for my disability. There were times I wanted to file a grievance but didn't, like when officers searched my cell and threw my property all over the floor. I also stopped asking for phone calls in the evening, even though I could hear my family better then.

9 10

11

- 12 13 14 15 16
- 18 19

17

20 21

23 24

22

25 26

27 28

- 21. One reason I think that the rumor endangered me so much, which the officers would've known, was that it related to people's access to the phones. As I said above, phone calls are incredibly meaningful to me and to many other people in prison. Taking phone calls away from people is taking away their access to their parents, who may be sick, or to their kids, who might need mentorship or support. Phone calls help us build better relationships with our loved ones. Without phone calls, I think I and many other people would feel helpless – we wouldn't be able to help people we know we could support even though we are in prison. My sister, my grandmother, and my wife have told me how much it means to them just to be able to hear my voice.
- 22. When I was at SATF, I witnessed physical fights between incarcerated people over access to the phones. That happened more than once – for example, when someone wouldn't get off the phone when their phone time was over, or someone tried to take someone else's turn on the phones. Especially before in-cell phone calls on the tablets, lockdowns aggravated tensions over the phone because people had less access. I remember hearing about people who were stabbed during fights over phone time in another block on D yard at SATF. Although I didn't witness it myself, altercations like that and like the ones that I witnessed are one reason I feared for my own life when staff told people they would lose phone time because of me.

Ducating System

- 23. At SATF, I found that the time on ducats for medical appointments was not always accurate. I was expected to report to medical only when the tower officer said to. In practice, the ducat meant that I had an appointment, but it didn't say when I actually would be seen for the appointment. Sometimes I was called early or late on the ducat. I wouldn't have been allowed to go to the clinic just because it was the time listed on my ducat if the officer hadn't called me. And even when I reported to medical because someone called for me, I'd still sometimes have to wait for up to an hour.
- 24. Announcements made over the public address system are not always clear and are not a reliable way to learn about appointments either because it's sometimes very

difficult to understand what the officer is saying. At SATF and now at MCSP, when an officer opens my door, I have to go to the tower officer to try to clarify what they had announced, because I can't understand the announcement enough to know if it relates to me or my cellmate. I don't want to be written up for not understanding what the officer said.

6

7

1

2

3

4

5

8 | /

9 | //

10 | //

11 | //

12 | //

13 | //

14 | //

15 | //

 $16 \parallel /$

17 | //

18 | //

19 ||

20 | //

21 //

22 | /

23 || /

24 | /

25

26 || /

27 ||

28

case 4:94-cv-02307-CW Document 3630-5 Filed 10/16/24 Page 38 of 50

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration is executed at Ione,

California, on this ______ day of October, 2024.

Exhibit 18

Case 4:94-cv-02307-CW Document 3630-5 Filed 10/16/24 Page 40 of 50

REASONABLE ACCOMMODA	TION PANEL (RAP) RESPON	<u>ISE</u>
RAP Meeting Date: 2/21/2024	Date IAC Received 1824: 2/20/2024	4 1824 Log Number: 522096
Inmate's Name:	CDCR #:	Housing: C8
		gwueze, Psychologist Dr. Health Care Grievance Governmental Program Analyst Staff Services
Summary of Inmate's 1824 Request: to assist with daily activities.	Inmate reports being DTL and DNH; In	mate requests to be provided a service dog upon parole
Interim Accommodation:		
No interim accommodation required while incarcerated.	l: You are not requesting an accommod	lation at access Programs, Services, or Activities (PSA)s
RAP RESPONSE:		
RAP is able to render a final decision dog upon parole to assist with daily active		DTL and DNH; Inmate requests to be provided a service
Response: On 2/21/2024, the RAP met	and discussed your 1824, Reasonable	Accommodation Request.
You are not requesting an accommoda (SOMS) indicates you have a control dat (TCMP) will meet with you to assist with	te of 8/21/2024; once you are within 120 (d. A review of Strategic Offender Management System days to release, Transitional Case Management Program n receive upon release.
You are encouraged to utilize the appropulation a CDCR 602-2, and your concert		oncerns. If you disagree with this determination, you may e Grievance Process.
Direction if dissatisfied : If you disagree along with your CDCR 1824 as supporti	e with this decision and want to file an aping documents.	peal/grievance, be sure to attach a copy of this response

MAR 1 9 2024

Date sent to inmate:

N. Scaife

ADA Coordinator/Designee

Signature

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION REQUEST
CDCR 1824 (Rev. 09/17)

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

DCR 1824 (Rev. 09/17)				Page 1 of 1
NSTITUTION (Staff use only)	LOG NUMBER (Staff Use Only	y)	DATE RECEIVED	BY STAFF:
SATE	522046	2	FEB 2 0 2024	ł
DEMONSTRALK TO STAFF IF YO	U HAVE AN EMERGENCY	*******		-0
O NOT use a CDCR 1824 to request hank	th osine or to appeal in hunliful car	re decision. This	OF GRIEVANC	£5
may delay your access to health cars. Ins	dend, submit a CCC 7382 or a (CDCR 602-HC		
NMATE'S NAME (Print)	, see Minds	ASSIGNMENT	HOU S	sing - 5/2 -
NSTRUCTIONS:				
You may use this form if you have a physic You may use this form to request a specific participate in a program, service or activity. Submit this form to the Custody Appeals Co. The 1824 process is intended for an indivi	ic reasonable accommodation of the commodation of the commodation request the special process. All CDCR of the commodation request the commodation of the	which, if approved to submit an allegate. Each individual's 1824 requests with the submit and t	, will enable you to a stion of disability-bases request requires a il receive a respons	sed discrimination. case-by-case revieue.
MHAT CAN'T YOU DO I WHAT IS THE SERVICE A COT AT LONG STATE AT LONG STATE		thes of	e La Lagrandi Hisi A	Reguests Reguests Reguests
WHY CAN'T YOU DO IT?	A Secure	e Do graf Jourself	3 Fix Seid	PTO POSSES
WHAT DO YOU NEED?	And the	Sec.	Con G	et my
And Do To	Agine Field	SE T	Ports of	go kend Pausik F Imans
Vendinern.		(Use the b	ack of this form if m	ore space is needed
DO YOU HAVE DOCUMENTS THAT List and attach documents, if available:	DESCRIBE YOUR DISAB II	LITY? Yes	☐ No ☐	Not Sure 🗌
I understand that s Assistance in completing this form was pro-	oviried by:	_2	may cause this req	uest to be disapprov
Legistative in combiguid and joint and bu				

Interim Accommodation Procedure (IAP) / Interview Worksheet

DRAFT

Upon receipt of a CDCR 1824, the Institution Appeals Coordinator (IAC) shall complete Step 1 below within 1 working day. Step 2 should be completed whenever the inmate's request is unclear or when additional input from the inmate and/or staff will help the RAP better understand the request.

Inmate:	CDCR #: _	CDCR 1824 L	og #: <u>522096</u>
STEP 1 INTERIM ACCOMMODATION ASS	BESSMENT	Date CDCR 1824 received	by IAC: 02 / 20 / 24
Does the inmate raise issues or being processed? Base your as			
Yes / Unsure (Complete Step		ne of the issues below are pre formation for RAP by complet	
Issues that may cause the inma	• •		ted to:
 Falling or the potential for Cannot safely access up 	•	safely navigate stairs. disorder and is assigned an	unner hunk
Workplace safety conce		g or vision claims that may jeo	
	· -	cess dining hall, carry food tra	
• Maintenance, repair, or	replacement of health care	ap	ty concerns.
Person Completing Step 1	AGPA Title	Signature	02 / 20 / 24
reison Completing Step 1	rite	Signature	Date Completed
STEP 2 CDCR 1824 INTERVIEWS	Note: Be sure to complet	te Step 3 when Step 1 was "Yes	s/Unsure"
Date assigned://	Due back to IAC:	/ / Returne	ed to IAC://
Assigned to:		Title:	
Information needed:			
			<u>,</u>
·			
Note 1: Attach a DECS printout listing in Note 2: IAC and/or RAP may assign to s			
Inmate Interview Date/Time:	Loc	ation:	
Interviewer notes:			
Staff Interviewed:			
	Title:		erview date: / /
Interviewer Notes:			
Staff Interviewed:	Title	late	on iou date:
		IIILE	:rview date://
Interviewer Notes:			
Notes: I/M IS NOT REQUESTING AN A SOMS INDICATES I/M HAD A C TCMP WILL MEET WITH I/M TO UPON RELEASE	CONTROL DATE OF 8/21/2	2024; ONCE I/M IS WITHIN 1:	20 DAYS TO RELEASE,
			//
Interviewer (Print Name)	Title	Signature	Date Completed

IAP / Interview Worksheet

DRAFT

3: Decision regarding when	THER AN INTERIM ACCOMM	ODATION IS NECESSARY (See	Note below)
An Interim Accommodation Is	S NOT required		
Reason:			
An Interim Accommodation I	S required.		
Reason:			
Accommodation(s) provide	ed:		Date provided:
Comments:			
	AGPA		02 / 21 / 24
Person Completing Step 3	Title	Signature	Date Completed

JAP processing instructions for the Appeals Coordinator

- Step 1 must always be completed prior to the initial RAP.
- Step 2 should be completed whenever the inmate's request is unclear, or when additional input from the inmate and/or staff will help the RAP better understand the request.
- If Step 1 is "Yes/Unsure," proceed to Steps 2 and/or 3. The interviews conducted in Step 2 will help with the decision in Step 3. Step 3 documents the decision. When the IAC is not able to complete steps 2 & 3 prior to the RAP (e.g., the request was received the day before the RAP) steps 2 and 3 may be completed during the RAP or shortly thereafter. Under no circumstances shall a decision regarding the need for an IAP exceed 5 working days.
- Consult with the ADA Coordinator when unsure which box to check in Step 1.
- Maintain ongoing communication with the ADA Coordinator regarding the interim accommodation process.

Step 2 Interviewer Instructions

- Your task is to obtain additional information that will assist the Reasonable Accommodation Panel (RAP) better understand issues raised by an inmate on a CDCR 1824, Reasonable Accommodation Request Form.
- Take a moment to read the CDCR 1824 and then review the information being requested in Step 2. If you need clarification, contact the Appeals Office or the ADA Coordinator.
- Interview the inmate who filed the CDCR 1824 and/or staff who may have knowledge about the inmate's request.
- Inmates often have difficulty expressing themselves in writing. Your interview notes should try to clarify what the problem is, and what the inmate wants (e.g., cane, lower bunk, shower chair, job modification, etc.).
- Reminder. Be sure to return this form to the Inmate Appeals Coordinator by the due date listed in Step 2.

As of: 02/20/2024

DPP Disability/Accommodation Summary

Tuesday February 20, 2024 02:45:07 PM

PID #:

CDC #

OFFENDER/PLACEMENT Facility: SATF-Facility D Housing Area/Bed: D 004 Placement Score: 54 CDC#: Name:

Custody Designation: Medium (A)

Housing Restrictions: Ground Floor-Limited Stairs Housing Program: Sensitive Needs Yard

Physical Limitations to Lifting Restriction- Unable to Lift more than Lower/Bottom Bunk Only

Job/Other: 19 Pounds

Permanent - 12/31/9999 No Rooftop Work

DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Current DDP Status: NCF

DDP Adaptive None Support Needs:

Current DDP Status Date: 12/23/2003 DPP Codes: DLT, DNH

DPP Determination Date: 11/14/2018

Current MH LOC: GP

Current MH LOC Date: 09/26/2023 SLI Required: No

Interview Date: 09/11/2017

Alternate Method - Hearing: Need Staff to Speak Loudly and Clearly nmate interviewed on 9/11/17 by CCI Primary Method(s) - Hearing: Hearing Aids Non-Formulary

Accommodations/Comments:

Additional Alternate: American Sign Language. TimeStamp: 11 September 2017 16:17:07 ---

User:

Initial Reading Level: 05.0 Learning Disability:

Initial Reading Level Date: 03/26/2021

Durable Medical Equipment: Hearing Aid

Non-invasive Airway Assistive Devices - C-Pap

Eyeglass Frames Electrical Access Foot Orthoses

Machine

Other (Include in Comments) Incontinence Supplies

Hearing / Mobility Impaired Disability Vest

Partial Lower Denture

Therapeutic Shoes/Orthotics

Languages Spoken

WORK/VOCATION/PIA

IMPORTANT DATES

Date Received: 03/14/2017

Release Date: 03/07/2026

Last Returned Date:

Work Group: A1 Privilege Group: A

AM Job Start Date: 11/16/2023

Name

Exhibit 19

Case 4:94-cv-02307-CW Document 3630-5 Filed 10/16/24 Page 46 of 50 REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION PANEL (RAP) RESPONSE RAP Meeting Date: 3/20/2024 Date IAC Received 1824: 3/18/2024 1824 Log Number: 534889 Inmate's Name: CDCR #: Housing: C8-RAP Staff Present: ADA Coordinator N. Scaife, Chief Medical Executive G. Ugwueze, Psychologist Dr. Health Care Grievance **Custody Appeals Representative** Associate Governmental Program Analyst Registered Staff Services Analyst Nurse Staff Services Analyst Assistant Principal (A) Summary of Inmate's 1824 Request: Inmate requests CART and an iPhone/iPad with captioning; Inmate reports it is hard to breathe with a C-PAP while the heater is on Interim Accommodation: No interim accommodation required: You were issued a Personal Sound Amplification Device (PSAD) on 3/21/2024. Batteries may be exchanged on a one for one basis by contacting your Facility Field Training Sergeant (FTS). RAP RESPONSE: RAP is able to render a final decision on the following: Inmate requests CART and an iPhone/iPad with captioning; Inmate reports it is hard to breathe with a C-PAP while the heater is on. Response: On 3/20/2024, the RAP met and discussed your 1824, Reasonable Accommodation Request. You were issued a Personal Sound Amplification Device (PSAD) on 3/21/2024. Batteries may be exchanged on a one for one basis by contacting your Facility Field Training Sergeant (FTS). Use of cart is intended for hearing impaired individuals who utilize written notes for Effective Communication (EC). Issuance of iPhone/iPad is intended for inmates with a profound hearing loss who utilize written notes for Effective Communication (EC). A review of SOMS indicates you are designated DNH with a primary EC of hearing aids and alternate of need staff to speak loudly and clearly. You are currently accommodated with hearing aids and have been provided a pocket talker as an additional means of achieving EC. Heating and cooling units have a scheduled that has not taken place yet. You are encouraged to report any breathing issued to custody staff immediately so medical attention can be provided. You are encouraged to utilize the appropriate avenues to address requests or concerns. If you disagree with this determination, you may

submit a CDCR 602-2 and your concerns will be addressed through the Inmate Appeal Process.

Direction if dissatisfied: If you disagree with this decision and want to file an appeal/grievance, be sure to attach a copy of this response along with your CDCR 1824 as supporting documents.

Signature

N. Scaife ADA Coordinator/Designee Date sent to inmate:

APR 1 0 2024

STATE OF CALIFORNIA: 94-CV-02307-CW Document 3630-5 Filed 10/16/24 Page 47 of 50 DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION CDCR 1824 (Rev. 09/17)

			Page 1 of 1	1
INSTITUTION (Staff (Time only)	LOG NUMBER (Staff Use C	אַמ	DATE RECEIVED BY STAFF:	
SIXX,	5:34	1	CSATF OFFICE	
DO NOT use a CDCR 1824 to request health may delay your access to health care. Inst	n care or to appeal a health o	are decision. This	MAR 18 2024 OF GRIEVANCES	
INMATE'S NAME (Print)	CDCR NUMBER	ASSIGNMENT	HOUSING	
		X		
INSTRUCTIONS:			12-8	
 You may use this form if you have a physic You may use this form to request a specific participate in a program, service or activity. Submit this form to the Custody Appeals Of The 1824 process is intended for an individ The CDCR 1824 is a request process, not a If you have received an 1824 decision that disagreeing with a medical diagnosis/treatm 	reasonable accommodation You may also use this form ffice. ual's accommodation reques an appeal process. All CDCF you disagree with, you may s	which, if approved to submit an allegant. Each individual's 1824 requests will	will enable you to access and/or tion of disability-based discrimination request requires a case-by-case re-	view.
WHAT CAN'T YOU DO / WHAT IS THE			 	
Well When I	PROBLEM?	1.1.		1
Comment to	of HT	aic /	Japain Frey 7	立
Cot THO	Cauch,	Just 1	2. Days	_
Ren Rocalet	I II	1	2.25	
They then	Jana (A)	100	7-17-1	_
WHY CAN'T YOU DO IT?	come jupo	ial	aprets of	
WHAT DO YOU NEED?	The Flee	lose in a series of the series	Those many fractions of the Sust	全
12 de hay h	and the	70	& Hecoure	20
The state of the s	the said	22	not fan	_1
Got Harta	The state of the s	TO STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	anger se	_
16-Dag An	of The The	1	the higher	-
A care 10 K	60/6/50	18011	Demol	
With M R	eithous	(Use the back	of this form if more space is needed	71
74.	1		The family will be a space to recorded	9
DO YOU HAVE DOCUMENTS THAT DE	SCRIBE YOUR DISABILI	TY? Yes [No ☐ Not Sure ☐	
				=
understand that st		5-1	y cause this request to be disapprov	/ed.
Assistance in completing this form was provide	ed by:			
Last Name	First Name		Signature	-

Case 4:94-cv-02307-CW Document 3630-5 Filed 10/16/24 Page 48 of 50 Interim Accommodation Procedure (IAP) / Interview Worksheet

Upon receipt of a CDCR 1824, the Institution Appeals Coordinator (IAC) shall complete Step 1 below within 1 working day.

Step 2 should be completed whenever the inmate's request is unclear or when additional input from the inmate and/or staff will help the RAP better understand the request.

Inmate:	CDCR #:	CDCR 1824 Log #: 534889
	the CDCR 1824 that may cause	CDCR 1824 received by IAC: 03 / 18 / 24 the inmate injury or other serious harm while it is 's claim, assuming the claim is true.
Yes / Unsure (Complete Step		he issues below are present) [Note: IAC may still ion for RAP by completing Step 2]
 Falling or the potential for Cannot safely access up Workplace safety concert Inability to perform esset 	pper bunk. Seizure disord rns. Hearing or vis ntial manual tasks (e.g., access di	navigate stairs. der and is assigned an upper bunk. ion claims that may jeopardize safety. ning hall, carry food tray, shower, use toilet).
• Maintenance, repair, or r	replacement of health care a AGPA	safety concerns.
Person Completing Step 1	Title	03 / 18 / 24 Date Completed
Assigned to: Information needed: Note 1: Attach a DECS printout listing in Note 2: IAC and/or RAP may assign to substitute in the substi	mate's current status (including D self and obtain information either to Location:	PP codes, DDP codes, TABE score, etc.)
Staff Interviewed: Interviewer Notes: Im 1905	Title:	Interview date: 03 / 21 /24
Staff Interviewed:	Title:	Interview date: / /
NOTES FOR EC. IS: HEARING LOSS WI	SUANCE OF IPHONE/IPAD IS IN IO UTILIZE WRITTEN NOTES. A	RED INDIVIDUALS WHO UTILIZE WRITTEN TENDED FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH A PROFOUND REVIEW OF SOMS INDICATES I/M IS G AIDS AND ALTERNATE OF NEED STAFF TO
OFFERED A POCKET TALKER NOT TAKEN PLACE YET. I/M IS	. HEATING/COOLING UNITS HA	ODATED WITH HEARING AIDS. I/M MAY BE VE A SCHEDULED CONVERSION THAT HAS REATHING ISSUES TO CUSTODY STAFF
	ARPA	15/15/80

Title

Signature

Date Completed

Case 4:94-cv-02307-CW

Document 3630-5 Filed 10/16/24

Page 49 of 50 DRAFT

Date Completed

	IAP / Interview W		
nate:	CDCR #:	CDCR 1824 Log #: 534889	9
3: DECISION REGARDING W	HETHER AN INTERIM ACCOMMODA	TION IS NECESSARY (See Note below)	
An Interim Accommodatio	n IS NOT required.		
Reason:			
_			
An Interim Accommodatio	n <u>IS required</u> .		
Accommodation(s) near	idad.	D.	4
Accommodation(s) prov	ridea:	Da	te provided: _//
Y <u>as the little way the greet</u>			_//
			_//
Comments:			

IAP processing instructions for the Appeals Coordinator

Note: When information is unable to prove or disprove a claim, consider an interim accommodation as a precautionary measure.

Signature

Step 1 must always be completed prior to the initial RAP.

Person Completing Step 3

- Step 2 should be completed whenever the inmate's request is unclear, or when additional input from the inmate and/or staff will help the RAP better understand the request.
- If Step 1 is "Yes/Unsure," proceed to Steps 2 and/or 3. The interviews conducted in Step 2 will help with the decision in Step 3. Step 3 documents the decision. When the IAC is not able to complete steps 2 & 3 prior to the RAP (e.g., the request was received the day before the RAP) steps 2 and 3 may be completed during the RAP or shortly thereafter. Under no circumstances shall a decision regarding the need for an IAP exceed 5 working days.
- Consult with the ADA Coordinator when unsure which box to check in Step 1.

AGPA

Title

Maintain ongoing communication with the ADA Coordinator regarding the interim accommodation process.

Step 2 Interviewer Instructions

- Your task is to obtain additional information that will assist the Reasonable Accommodation Panel (RAP) better understand issues raised by an inmate on a CDCR 1824, Reasonable Accommodation Request Form.
- Take a moment to read the CDCR 1824 and then review the information being requested in Step 2. If you need clarification, contact the Appeals Office or the ADA Coordinator.
- Interview the inmate who filed the CDCR 1824 and/or staff who may have knowledge about the inmate's request.
- Inmates often have difficulty expressing themselves in writing. Your interview notes should try to clarify what the problem is, and what the inmate wants (e.g., cane, lower bunk, shower chair, job modification, etc.).
- Reminder. Be sure to return this form to the Inmate Appeals Coordinator by the due date listed in Step 2.

Name:

CDC #: CHSS035CDPP Disability/Accommodation Summary Monday March 18, 2024 11:42:49 AM

As of: 03/18/2024

OFFENDER/PLACEMENT

CDC#: Name:

Facility: SATF-Facility C

Housing C 008

Area/Bed: Placement 54 Score:

Custody Medium (A)

Housing Non-Designated Program Facility

Program:

Housing Ground Floor-Limited Stairs Restrictions: Lower/Bottom Bunk Only

Physical Lifting Restriction- Unable to Lift more than 19

Limitations to Pounds

Job/Other: No Rooftop Work

Permanent - 12/31/9999

DISABILITY ASSISTANCE

Current DDP Status: NCF DDP Adaptive None

Support Needs:

Current DDP Status Date: 12/23/2003

DPP Codes: DLT, DNH

DPP Determination Date: 11/14/2018

Current MH LOC: GP

Current MH LOC Date: 09/26/2023

SLI Required: No

Interview Date: 09/11/2017

Primary Method(s) - Hearing: Hearing Aids Alternate Method - Hearing: Need Staff to Speak

Loudly and Clearly

Non-Formulary Inmate interviewed on

Accommodations/Comments: 9/11/17 by CCI

Additional

Alternate: American Sign

Language.

TimeStamp: 11 September 2017 16:17:07 --- User:

Learning Disability:

Initial Reading Level: 05.0

Initial Reading Level Date: 03/26/2021

Durable Medical Equipment: Hearing Aid

Non-invasive Airway

Assistive Devices - C-Pap

Machine

Electrical Access Eyeglass Frames Foot Orthoses Hearing / Mobility Impaired Disability Vest Incontinence Supplies Other (Include in

Comments)

Partial Lower Denture

Therapeutic Shoes/Orthotics

Languages Spoken:

IMPORTANT DATES

Date Received: 03/14/2017

Last Returned Date:

Release Date: 03/07/2026

Release Type: Earliest Possible Release Date

WORK/VOCATION/PIA

Privilege Group: A Work Group: A1

AM Job Start Date:

Status:

Position #: Position Title:

Regular Days On: